



6<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

The Right Honourable Master of the Rolls

The Rt Hon Sir Geoffrey Vos  
7, The Rolls Buildings,  
Holborn,  
London EC4A 1NL

My Reference: FG107.  
Your Reference:

**In the Court of Appeal  
England and Wales  
Civil Division**

**Case CH-2020-000043**



**Appellant (Litigant-in-Person)**

**and**

**LANCASHIRE COMBINED FIRE AUTHORITY  
Respondent**

**Appeal against Judgement of Mr. Justice Fancourt**

Dear Master of the Rolls,

I am a Litigant-in-Person.

In frustration I wrote on this matter to your predecessor Sir Timothy Etherton PC on the 1<sup>st</sup> October 2020; unfortunately I did not receive the courtesy of either an acknowledgement or a reply.

I am sure my letter will have been retained on file , if not, please let me know.

I began this quest for justice 5 and possibly, by now, 6 years ago.

In the prior letter to the Master of the Rolls I have referred to, I outlined how many obstacles have been thrown up in my path by different Authorities all seemingly determined to bring about closure of my case simply to suit their respective malign purposes.

Bearing in mind that you will take up your appointment on the 11<sup>th</sup> January 2021 and be completely unaware of my case I am sure it would be useful if I outline where all the time has gone since the 3<sup>rd</sup> July 2020, little if any, under my control.

Once more I am appealing, this time against the Judgement made by Mr. Justice Fancourt, at a telephone Hearing which took place on July 3<sup>rd</sup> 2020.

I was led to believe that the Hearing was to be conducted by Mrs. Justice Falk but on the day the Court chose to rearrange things without giving me any prior notice. I have to say I was not impressed.

Since that date I had to wait a further seventeen weeks before the Court made available to me Mr. Justice Fancourt's "Approved Judgement" which was EFiled by him and emailed to me on 27<sup>th</sup> October 2020 by UBIQUS the transcript contractor, not the Court, for some reason.

In the interim I had made repeated requests to both the Court (via Mr.Brilliant - who was indeed) and UBIQUS, who seemed in disarray from the beginning but not yet at the end, for that approved Judgement.

I received part only of the Hearing transcript.

I have made several requests to both the Court and UBIQUS but to date I am still not in receipt of the first part of the Hearing transcript and thus to a certain extent my helpful barrister is hamstrung.

One might be forgiven for thinking that the Court is deliberately denying me access to the first part of that transcript though what advantage that might be to them I fail to see.

On the 4<sup>th</sup> February 2020 I formally lodged an Appeal at your Court and by EFile which Justice Fancourt records in his Judgment as being correctly lodged.

On the 14<sup>th</sup> July 2020 I received an invitation from your Court to request an extension of time after I had made a properly lodged appeal against Mrs. Justice Falk's Judgement also in July; all Efiled.

This I did both by Recorded Delivery and EFile, but I did not receive an acknowledgement from the Court on that occasion either.

I also notified the Court that I wished to “stay” that Appeal but I received no acknowledgement from the Court, once more.

Covid apart, the time factors adopted by the Court together with the incongruous administration that I have had to endure have left me with an undeniably reduced level of confidence in the Court’s case management.

I am without all the information that I might rely on but notwithstanding the Court’s time management I feel that it is now necessary to formally “unstay” my appeal to move matters forward; my time is not limitless.

I accept and recognise that I must continue to have the ‘Points-of-Law’ for doing so which I believe, inadvertently, Justice Fancourt’s Judgment provides me with, even with the limitation of a truncated Hearing transcript.

Accordingly I will provide an Addendum ‘Skeleton Argument’ to the Court of Appeal, within the usual limitations set down by the Court as soon as possible, illustrating why Justice Fancourt misdirected himself which, as I see it, was primarily because he was not in possession of all the full facts of my case.

Can I just state in passing that I am not unsympathetic about where Justices Falk and Fancourt found themselves, being from my standpoint, both duped by TPO and then professionally exploited by their own senior Judiciary when their reasonable expectations were that they were in the main dealing with persons of integrity at TPO and in the Judiciary, when clearly they were not.

Now to the tedious.

In February the Court of Appeal cashed my cheque of £1199.0 for its fees. Months later Mrs. Angus suggested that I ask for my monies back but she did not explain why. Nevertheless I asked for my monies back and it then took 10 weeks to go through the Court’s system instead of the prescribed 3 to 4 weeks.

Presently I hold the Court’s cheque uncashed. I will return the Court’s uncashed cheque back to the Court of Appeal with the Addendum Skeleton Arguments when it is ready very shortly and thus the Appeal fees remain paid.

Yours sincerely,

F M G  MIFireE