



28<sup>th</sup> January, 2021

Mr. P Cobourn  
Registry Office  
Case Progression Section  
Strand, Holborn,  
London WC2A 2LL

My Reference: FG111.  
Your Reference:2020/PI/10670

**In the Court of Appeal  
England and Wales  
Civil Division**

**Case 2020/PI/10670**



**Appellant (Litigant~in~Person)**

**and**

**LANCASHIRE COMBINED FIRE AUTHORITY  
Respondent**

Dear Mr. Cobourn,

1. I am in receipt your curious document.
2. As you might expect by now I treat all you communications with circumspection since I first became aware of your involvement at an early point after my Appeal was *issued* by the Court of Appeal on the 4<sup>th</sup> of February 2020 a fact later recorded by Fancourt LJ in an Approved Judgment.
3. From the outset of your involvement I have watched you manipulate each and every step of the way to the detriment of Justice not only for myself but for those veterans who served the public well and who through a no fault of their own in

Service injury were discharged and were underpaid their pensions to *their* loss and the loss of their widows and beneficiaries.

4. You are engaged in a dangerous 'game' which you will find have consequences.
5. In your latest manipulation in which you now abandon any pretext of subterfuge and engage in a blatant criminal act you seem to infer from its contents that this is a formal Judgment of the Court, though you do not state from which Court, or from which Judge the decision comes, and search as I may I cannot find a Listing at which this 'Master of the Court' as you have described him/her sat to reach an Approved Judgment ?
6. If I were new to this 'game' and gullible I might well be minded to 'throw the towel in'. but in fact what you do is to simply encourage me and my comrades to investigate you, your role, and ultimately who you are working for because it cannot be by any stretch of the imagination be the Judiciary, Fair Play, or Justice.
7. I wonder who you really are because I find it noteworthy that you have never stated on a single documentation what your title is; what your responsibilities are; who you answer directly to in line management; and indeed what your Civil Service grade might be?
8. It seems after reviewing your contributions over the last year a clear picture emerges where other than obfuscate, block, delay, or run me around the chicanery, unlike your colleagues in the Belfast Registry, you have provided me as a Litigant-in-Person with no support whatsoever contrary to the Judicature policy on LiPs which governs your 'work'. In fact overall you have acted with shameless criminality.
9. Indeed even though you write to me under the CoA Reference 2020/PI/10670 you even deliberately failed to inform me that this was the new Reference I was meant to use instead of the one issued by the Court of Chancery and thus you deliberately misled me with the vain hope I would get lost in the legal labyrinth.
10. Now however that you have finally excited my interest in you I have chosen to look at, not only your deliberately misleading 'performance' during the last year, but the lead in to your latest criminality.
11. But before I do so I should draw the many readers' attention, those who will subsequently see this published letter, to your personal misconduct and abuse of authority in public office both of which may I remind you are also criminal offences.

12. What I find especially repugnant, as a former Senior Ranking Officer in the Fire Service, is that I suspect that you do not hesitate to misuse and abuse your authority over your subordinates who you unabashedly use to cloak your criminal activities by placing them under duress and by manipulating them into acquiescence under the threat of their continued employment.
13. Now in gathering evidence against you to present not only to the Lord Chief Justice but to the Commissioner of the Metropolitan Police I require you to indicate to me the following:
- Who the 'Master of Court' was you state that you placed this matter before?  
No doubt the new Master of the Rolls(MR) will also be interested to know.
  - The Listing Date and Time at which this Judge gave an Approved Judgment on the documents you submitted to him/her.
  - I require a copy of all the documentation that you placed before that Judge including your written recommendations, whilst reminding you that all this documentation is under the Data Protection Act 2000(GDPR) my 'Subject data' ; you are to regard this paragraph as my formal request to acquire this 'subject data' within the legislative time framework allowed.
14. I must now deal assiduously with the 18<sup>th</sup> January 2021 the day at 07:36hrs on which I sent you an EFile and file copy of an Addenda to my Appeal.
15. This seems to have galvanised you into action in that you were able in a few short hours until 16:00hrs to get a Court Listing; Court time with a sitting Judge on an issue before the Court since 4<sup>th</sup> February 2020, and then get him/her to issue you with an Approved Judgment so that you could have a 'willing' subordinate draft, type, issue, and mail to me with your latest creative ideas all pursuant to obstructing Justice in a few stampeding hours.
16. I also presume you presented this Addenda to the Judge or did you in a further criminal act suppress its presence in a blatant act of Contempt of Court by deliberately obstructing due process?
17. When you send me my 'Subject data' no doubt this will be included as proof of your innocent actions and no doubt the Judge will ultimately confirm all that you stated in this letter to me.
18. Next I will examine your role in making legal statements purporting that these come from a 'Master' of the Court of Appeal because as a lay person clerk you

cannot make such statements and if you have done so then you act in ultra vires.

19. The alleged statements from a 'Master' do raise an interesting Point of Law in pragmatism.
20. Is this 'Master of the Court' to whom you state you have presented all these documents saying that there is no further Appeal process against Fancourt LJ who deliberately failed to understand my Points of Law advanced to him?
21. And if this is so why do we have a Supreme Court whose sole existence is posited on judging Points of Law presented to them if they are all refused at Court of Appeal level by mere layperson clerks or misguided 'Masters' ?
22. Now to your risible 'law' the briefest glance at which, namely, the 'Access to Justice Act 1999 S 54', which you deliberately misquote to mislead and confuse provides a prime example of how you have manipulated my legitimate actions over the preceding year where you cherry pick the quotes which serve your malignant and corrupt purposes counting on my assumed ignorance of the law to defeat my lawful purpose which I seek to attain namely, Justice.
23. In concluding there really is only one action necessary to prevent you and others from continuing to corruptly Misconduct yourself in Public Office and exploiting the innocent and that is to have the Metropolitan Police arrest you; to have you arraigned and charged before the Court, and ultimately to have you and those who direct, encourage, and condone your actions locked up.
24. The harm you and others have caused to Public Confidence in the Judiciary and in particular the Court of Appeal is incalculable.
25. I do so hope Mr. Cobourn that you understand my points of view and I wait your detailed response to all those Questions coupled with my DPA Request which I have raised with you and can no doubt expect you will deal with them with the same alacrity you have dealt with your last communication?

I will give you 7 working days to respond before I report your criminality to Commissioner Dame Cressida Dick.

Yours Truly,

F M G  MIFireE