



1<sup>st</sup> April, 2021.

Private and Personal To:

The Right Honourable Sir Geoffrey Vos,  
Master of the Rolls,  
President of the Court of Appeal of England and Wales, Civil Division.  
Royal Courts of Justice  
Strand, Holborn,  
London WC2A 2LL.

My Ref: FG122; G [REDACTED] -v-Lancashire Combined Fire Authority.  
Your Ref: Ch – 2020 – 000043 & 2020/PI/10670.

My Lord,

I received a letter from the Court dated 11th February 2021, in which I was invited to request a review of the case G [REDACTED] v Lancashire Combined Fire Authority.

The first line of the letter stated that the previous correspondence which I had sent to the Court (Registry) had been referred to Master Meacher of the Court of Appeal who had asked Mr. Chowdhury to reply to me on her behalf, and I quote:- “who has asked me to reply as follows”.

In what followed came an invitation for me to request a review which I did.

I believed, not unreasonably, that the invitation was instigated by and approved of by Master Meacher and so I wrote to her confirming my request to have the case reviewed.

I included a comprehensive draft of an appeal prepared for me at my request, by Mr. John Copplestone Bruce, my Pro Bono Barrister, which as you might observe took some time.

This, as I stated in my letter, was for her information.

Up to that point in the proceedings Master Meacher, as far as I knew, had not been involved in the case and so might welcome the draft as a personal briefing.

To date, disappointingly, I have had neither the common courtesy of an acknowledgement, nor any helpful administrative guidance how I might proceed as a Litigant-in-Person from this point forward.

I know, from Postal Records, that my correspondence was delivered to the Court and as it included this particular request in respect of the administrative advice above (seeking no advantage) which required an answer.

Given my previous experience I am no longer disappointed that I have yet to have a reply (covid apart).

In my quest for justice, not only for myself but for those disabled Fire Service Veterans, their Widows and Beneficiaries who were on, or associated with the 1992 Scheme; Statutory Instrument 129 Firemen's Pensions Scheme, and, like me, have been paid the wrong pensions, I have been subjected to all manner of spoiling tactics.

After 6 years of a struggle and having been invited to ask for a review of this case I now formally submit to you what I believe is all the material including your not inconsiderable fee (£1200) that the Court requires for consideration of this case.

Historically 'Firemen' were re-titled Fire Fighters which describes our nature as well as our occupation and so we can guarantee you that we will continue to "box on" in adversity.

Lest there be doubt, we seek no unfair advantage, nor 'secret deals', just that our Human Right to Justice, thus far denied to us and now it seems by the Court of Appeal also, be properly applied, 'win or lose'.

Please be kind enough to have your Clerk acknowledge receipt.

Yours Truly,

F M G  M.I.Fire E.

Copy – President of the Supreme Court.